Best Available Copy



Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/002,648

01/05/98

HORNE

D 042390.P5113

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR AND ZAFMAN 7TH FLOOR

12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES CA 90025

ART UNIT

2734

DATE MAILED:

07/29/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| | '' (

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/002,648

HORNE

Office Action Summary

Examiner

TEMESGHEN GHEBRETINSAE

Group Art Unit 2734



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 24, 1999	
∑ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev The drawing(s) filed on	o by the Examiner isapproveddisapproved. er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). e priority documents have been
received in this national stage application from the Inter	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	3
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOULOWING PAGES	

Art Unit: 2734

DETAILED ACTION

1. It would be of great assistance to the Office if all incoming papers pertaining to a filed application carried the following items:

- 1. Application number (checked for accuracy, including series code and serial no.).
- 2. Group art unit number (copied from most recent Office communication).
- 3. Filing date.
- 4. Name of the examiner who prepared the most recent Office action.
- 5. Title of invention.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The references listed in the IDS have been considered by the examiner see attached PTO 1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rosen (4,972,480).

Rosen disclose a communication system comprising the step of creating an encoded pseudo nose signal and spreading the information signal by modulating the information signal with the encoded pseudo noise signal. Demodulating the information signal with the encoded PN code.

Art Unit: 2734

The encoded pseudo noise signal is the modified part of the pseudo noise signal and corresponds to the user. (See col.1, lines 23-43 and figs.3 and 4)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3,5-6,9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosen.

Rosen disclose the subject matter of the invention substantially as claimed. Rosen differs from the claimed invention in that he is silent in terms of the encoded pseudo noise code being same as the pseudo noise code with one bit inverted as claimed in claims 3 and 5. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to design the encoded pseudo noise code of Rosen to be a pseudo noise code with one bit inverted since there is no new or unexpected result. Rosen also teaches demodulating the information signal with the encoded PN code as claimed in claim 6 and the pseudo noise signal corresponds to the user as claimed in claims 8 and 10.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2734

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 5/24/99 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 Applicant argues that the encoded PN codes are encoded based on the information signal.
 However, such limitation is not claimed in the rejected claims (see claims 4 and 7).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T.Ghebretinsae whose telephone number is (703) 305-4777. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Art Unit: 2734

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached on (703) 305-4714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-5091.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

T.Ghebretinsae

7/28/99.

TEMESGHEN GHEBRETINSAE PRIMARY EXAMINER